Report to the Ninth ECP/GR Steering Committee meeting (October 2003)

Working Group on Forages

Progress made by the Group during Phase VI (1999 - 2003)

| Specific objective | Achievements | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Meetings | | | | | |
| Seventh meeting, 18-20 November 1999, Elvas, | Report published | | | | |
| Portugal | | | | | |
| E: 1:1 | | | | | |
| Eighth meeting, 10-12 April 2003, Linz, Austria | Draft report prepared and workplan established | | | | |
| Documentation | Several new databases have been made available on the Internet. | | | | |
| Improve access to forages data | The most recent include the <i>Agropyron</i> , Annual <i>Medicago</i> , <i>Arrhenatherum</i> , <i>Trisetum</i> , <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> and the Minor Forage Legumes. Several databases have been updated | | | | |
| Characterization/Evaluation | | | | | |
| Evaluate the genetic diversity of the European | Preliminary AFLP analysis carried out at DvP, Belgium and | | | | |
| Lolium core collection | overall statistical analysis was initiated at IGER, UK | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Ex situ conservation - Safety-duplication | | | | | |
| Improve the level of safety-duplication | Genebanks offering their capacity to host safety-duplicates as | | | | |
| | "black boxes" identified | | | | |
| Ex situ conservation - Regeneration | | | | | |
| Increase the use of appropriate standards | Standards proposed within the group were tested. In order to | | | | |
| increase the ase of appropriate standards | increase transparency, collection of different procedures used | | | | |
| | was started. Recommendations for most preferred standards | | | | |
| | were made | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Sharing of responsibilities | A march minute to the offer MOC at 1 C 1 1 1 C 1 T | | | | |
| Identify Most Original Samples (MOS) and | A mechanism to identify MOS was defined and tested. It was | | | | |
| define primary holders with responsibility for conservation | clarified that completely automated algorithms need to be complemented by human decisions and a new workplan was | | | | |
| Conservation | agreed, to define primary holders of accessions of the most | | | | |
| | important species | | | | |
| | mit of the operation | | | | |
| Collecting | | | | | |
| Fill gaps in collecting | Several international collecting missions undertaken: Germany | | | | |
| | in Bulgaria, France, Ireland and Spain; | | | | |
| | VIR/NGB in Karelia; UK in the Pyrenees mountains | | | | |
| Collaboration | | | | | |
| Submit project proposal on optimisation of | The project proposal ICONFORS was approved by the EC and | | | | |
| regeneration protocols for perennial forage | the project started on January 2001, with partners from 6 | | | | |
| species | European countries. The main objective is to acquire genetic and | | | | |
| | economic knowledge, to optimize seed multiplication | | | | |
| | methodologies | | | | |
| On- farm conservation | | | | | |
| Increase exchange of knowledge and | Several experiences reported at the meetings and recorded in the | | | | |
| experiences | reports | | | | |
| . | <u>, </u> | | | | |

Working Group on Forages

Plan of action for Phase VII (2004 - 2008)

| Specific objective | Action | Timeframe | Request of support from ECP/GR for specific actions | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Funding requested | Type of support requested |
| Meetings: | Hold 2 meetings | 2005 and 2008 | YES | - Funds - Logistic support - Technical support from IPGRI during the meeting - Technical support from IPGRI after the meeting |
| Publications: | Reports of meetings with workplan | 2005 and 2008 | YES | - Funds - Logistic support |
| Documentation: Improve quality content and accessibility of the Forage databases | Fill gaps and check data for MCPD and compatibility with EURISCO with help of curators and DB-managers | Updates every two years | NO | Logistic support |
| Ex situ cons Regeneration: | Encourage use of most preferred standards with emphasis on MOS accessions | Ongoing | NO | Logistic support |
| Ex situ cons Safety-duplication: Improve level of safety-duplication | Promote exchange of safety duplicates as "black boxes" between several institutions/countries with MOU agreement | Check progress 2005 and 2008 | NO | Logistic support |
| Sharing of responsibilities: Define the primary holder accepting responsibility for conservation of the accessions of the most important species | After definition of MOS, reach agreement on responsibility on primary conservation | 2005 | NO | Logistic support |
| <u>Collecting:</u> Focus on targeted collections | Fill gaps in geographic distribution and in expression of traits | Ongoing | NO | |
| <u>Collaboration</u> : Joint activities for enhanced utilization | Submit proposals to the new EC programme in order to have a better description and evaluation of forage collections. Start with <i>Lolium</i> Core Collection | First submission 2004 | YES (from EU) | |

Prepared by: Working Group Chair: Beat Boller

Date: 03 September 2003