Report of a Working Group on Forages

Held in Larissa, Greece 7-9 Feb. 1984 UNDP/IBPGR EUROPEAN
COOPERATIVE PROGRAMME
FOR CONSERVATION AND
EXCHANGE OF CROP
GENETIC RESOURCES



International Board for Plant Genetic Resources



**United Nations Development Programme** 

		•
		м

N.

AGPG:IBPGR/84/37 May 1984

EUROPEAN COOPERATIVE PROGRAMME FOR THE CONSERVATION AND EXCHANGE OF CROP GENETIC RESOURCES

Forages Working Group

RFPORT
of a Working Group held at the
Fodder Crops and Pastures Institute
Larissa, Greece, 7-9 February 1984

UNDP - IBPGR Rome, May 1984

is an autonomous, international, scientific organization under the aegis of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR). The IBPGR, which was established by the CGIAR in 1974, is composed of its Chairman and 16 members; its Executive Secretariat is provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. The basic function of the IBPGR, is to the budgetary requirements of the Board. thereby contribute to raising the standard of welfare of people throughout the world. The Group mobilizes financial support from its memb documentation, The International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR) is an autonomous, international, scientific organization resources centres to further the collection, promote and coordinatean international network of evaluation and use of from its members plant The Consultative germp lasm conservation, living to meet genetic and and

		•

#### CONTENTS

TMTTONIOTTON	
KE FOK I	
APPENDIX I	APPENDIX I LIST OF PARTICIPANTS
APPENDIX II	TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR ECP/GR WORKING GROUPS
APPENDIX III	FORAGE SAMPLES HELD IN EUROPEAN COLLECTIONS
APPENDIX IV	MINIMUM PASSPORT DATA SHEET
APPENDIX V	SUMMARY OF AVAILABLE DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT

### INTRODUCTION

Working Group was convened, at t Institute, Larissa, Greece, 7-9 Institute, Larissa, Appendix I. Committee of Phase I and Conservation basis of cre Following the agreement for Phase II of the European Cooperative Programme for the servation and Exchange of Grop Genetic Resources (ECP/GR) to be organized on the is of crop working groups, priorities were identified by the Scientific Advisory mittee of Phase I and endorsed by the Governing Board meeting in Brussels in October 2. A high priority was accorded to forage grasses and legumes. Accordingly, a king Group was convened, at the invitation of the Fodder Grops and Pastures Research thing Larissa. Greece, 7-9 February 1984. A list of the participants is shown in

Institute. Dr. J.H.W. norue Chairman of the Working Group. The participants were warmly welcomed by Dr. E. Holden, Senior Adviser E.L. Stylopoulos, the IBPGR Was Director of the host unanimously elected

with request for the implementation by appropriate genebanks or institutes within their countries. The Terms of Reference of the Working Group as defined by the Third Amnual Meeting of the Governing Board (December 1982) are set out in Appendix II. Forages Genetic Resources rrogramme (1986-89). The work plan should be the basis of the cooperative work among the countries and would be recommended to governments by the IBPGR - the operating a countries and would be recommended to governments by the IBPGR - the operating a Dr. Holden Indicated the need to formulate a detailed work plan for phase ages Genetic Resources Programme (1983-86) and also continuing to 36-89). The work plan should be the basis of the cooperative work among the operating agency

#### REPORT

to achieve the aims of the programme are set out in following sections. summary of the conclusions reached and the Working Group's recommendations

# 1. Descriptor lists for grasses and forage legumes

- 2-1 - 2-2-1 - 2-2-1

Working Group snow the subcommittees on grasses and legumes of the EEC Committee on Disease Resistance and better use of Gene Banks and by the IBPGR. These descriptor lists resulted from lengthy practical experience. Working Group considered the draft descriptor lists which had been produced by by the experts on the two subcommittees and the Chairman proposed the out should, if possible, adopt them as the basis for their work, cong that they were subject to amendment if this became necessary in the These descriptor lists resulted from lengthy Chairman proposed that the

were presented separately. all passport data had In addition, the Secretariat presented a joint grass/legume descriptor list been amalgamated and the characterization and evaluation sections in which

publication of the descriptor lists. The Working Group discussed the merits of the combined and separate presentations it was recommended that the matter be left to IBPGR/EEC who would finance the

Various criticisms and suggestions were made regarding passport descriptors. These comments were designed to improve the accuracy and value of the recorded data and were noted by the Secretariat for inclusion wherever possible in the published lists.

the legume descriptor list. The relevance and utility of certain descriquestioned and agreement was reached on a minimum number of amendments to the draft. A lengthy discussion took place on the characterization and evaluation sections of descriptors EEC/IBPGR

### 2. Forages directory

recommended for Ellis Davies, The Directory of Germplasm Resources - Forages (Grasses, Legumes,) s Davies, IBPGR Forages Officer, was presented to the meeting an publication after necessary updating. In addition, an abstract of s,) prepared by and considered Mr.

entries relating to European Collections was given as a working paper (Appendix III). This was considered in detail and updated where necessary. The view was expressed that, in a number of cases where a very large number of accessions were recorded, the figure probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that while the summary gave an impression of the probably included breeders' lines and that the probably included breeders' lines are the probably included breeders' lines and the probably included breeders' lines and the probably included breeders' lines are the probably i scale of European forage holdings, the true pregistration of individual lines in the data bases. holdings, the while the summary gave an impression of the true picture would only emerge following a bases.

## Definition of different collections minimum passport data for preliminary identification of duplicates in

collections was probably not as serious in forage grasses and legumes as in some other processing of data should be adopted. As a registration of full passport data should follow replicates due principally to exchanged accessions between genebanks. recognized that the problem of replication of identical mate passport descriptors were necessary in the first the registration and processing of unnecessary data will be avoided. but a critical discussion it was agreed by that, nevertheless, step-by-step for selected the Working Group instance, for the second approach step t o accessions, and in this Ľ. material the is envisaged identification registration The Working Group that only H H different eight

# Transfer of data from collections to a central data base

data base was examined and the Working Group made the following recommendations: The problem of easy and rapid transfer of data from collections to the species/genus

- through Country A minimum passport data sheet (Appendix IV) be circulated from ECP/GR Secretariat Contacts to all institutions having forage collections
- directed on the form. manually That for on collections of less than 200 accessions the data should be transcribed these forms and sent direct to the nominated species data
- ٠ د For collections of more than 200 accessions, data should be sent:
- on diskettes between institutes with fully compatible hardware;
- passport incompatible data sheet giving necessary instructions for correct reading by data bases; on magnetic tape (9 track, 1600 b.p.i. and IBM format) between institutes will be attached to the minimum
- species data base, and which lack facilities for transferring data to magnetic tape, that (i) where the data is recorded on Apple II equipment it should be sent on diskettes to IBPGR for transfer to magnetic tape and (ii) where it is registered in other types of equipment, the ECP/GR Secretariat should be notified. They will make other arrangements conversion on to tape. 4.3.3 for institutes with equipment which is incompatible with that in the
- should be referred to the Secretariat for advice and assistance. Cases of data transfer which are not covered by the arrangements outlined above
- maintained. genebanks to provide the necessary data so that the momentum of the programme can be was further recommended that data bases should be active in stimulating
- The purpose of this survey was to enable the Working Gr to predict the occurrence of incompatible interactions holding collections and the data base in the transfer of compiled from the of knowledge of computer facilities available ...

  members of the Working Group and is given in arrangement in institutes to enable the Working Group and collaborating institutes to enable the Working Group and collaborating institutes.

## Ģ Central data bases for species or genera

species Working assume resp data of the Having regard to the Group recommends that the Secretariat requests the responsibility for the registration and processing the species or genera as listed below: or genera and to known interests the n interests of institutes or computer facilities available of institutes requests the following organizations of the preliminary genebanks C) these institutes rol particular passport

### Forage Legumes:

Medicago sativa, M. falcata, M. media, Institut National de Recherche Agronomique (INRA), France; alternative, Institute of Plant Introduction and Genetic Resources, "K. Malkov" Sadovo, Bulgaria.

Medicago annual species, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agrarias, (INIA), Badajoz, Spain.

Trifolium pratense, Federal Research Stational Alternative, Nordic Gene Bank (NGB), Lund, Sweden. Trifolium Federal Station of Changins, Switzerland;

Trifolium repens, Welsh Plant Breeding Station, (WPBS), Aberystwyth, Wales,

Trifolium subterraneum, INIA, Badajoz, Spain.

Vicia sativa and other Vicia spp., INIA, Zaragoza, Spain; alterna Regional Agricultural Research Institute, (ARARI), Izmir, Turkey. Spain; alternative, Aegean

### ୍ତ 5.2

Lolium perenne, ľ multiflorum, WPBS, Aberystwyth, Wales, UK.

Lolium annual s Ricerche, (CNR), species, Labo ), Bari, Italy. Laboratorio del Germoplasma, Consiglio Nazionale delle

Dactylis Poland. spp., Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute, (IHAR),

Festuca spp., IHAR, Radzikow, Poland.

Bromus spp., I Qualification, Research Centre (RCA) Tapioszele, Hungary. for Agrobotany, Institute for Plant Production and

Poa spp., Gene Baun Federal Republic of Germany Bank Federal Research Centre of Agriculture, (FAL), Braunschweig,

Phleum spp., Nordic Gene Bank (NGB), Lund, Sweden.

W 100 ELO



June 1984. ECP/GR Secretariat should endeavour to obtain agreement to these proposals by

passport data The Working Group hopes that the institutes listed above responsibilities for the designated species in the subsequent e will continue t registration of of. C

## Identification of duplicates

data bases. The descriptors from the which should be Working Group expects that after completed by January 1985, obvious duplicates will be identified by the next stage will be the registration of full passport data using next stage the IBPGR/ resulted from seed exchange between genebanks. stage will be t IBPGR/EEC lists the registration of preliminary passport data,